

CONVERGENCE OF EIGENVALUES AND EIGENFUNCTIONS OF NONLINEAR DIRICHLET PROBLEMS IN DOMAINS WITH FINE-GRAIN BOUNDARY

I. V. Skrypnik and Yu. V. Namleeva

UDC 517.956

We study the behavior of eigenvalues and eigenfunctions of the Dirichlet problem for nonlinear elliptic second-order equations in domains with fine-grain boundary.

In the present work, we study the convergence of the eigenvalues of nonlinear Dirichlet problems in a sequence of domains with fine-grain boundary. The averaging of linear problems in such domains was studied by many authors beginning with Marchenko and Khruslov [1]. Linear problems on eigenvalues in perforated domains are also well investigated (see, e.g., [2, 3]). For nonlinear equations, the Dirichlet problem in perforated domains was considered in [4–6]. One should also note the works [7–10], where nonlinear problems on eigenvalues were studied in a fixed domain.

1. Formulation of Conditions and Results

Let $\Omega_s = \Omega \setminus \bigcup_{i=1}^{I(s)} F_i^{(s)}$, where $\Omega \subset R^n$ is an arbitrary domain and let, for any natural value of s , a finite number of disjoint closed domains $F_i^{(s)}$, $i = \overline{1, I(s)}$, contained in Ω be defined. Let $d_i^{(s)}$ denote the lower bound of the radii of balls containing $F_i^{(s)}$ and let $x_i^{(s)}$ be the center of a ball of radius $d_i^{(s)}$ such that $F_i^{(s)} \subset \overline{B(x_i^{(s)}, d_i^{(s)})}$. Denote $r_i^{(s)} = \text{dist} \left\{ B(x_i^{(s)}, d_i^{(s)}), \bigcup_{j \neq i} B(x_j^{(s)}, d_j^{(s)}) \cup \partial\Omega \right\}$. Assume that the following conditions are satisfied:

$B_1)$ $d_i^{(s)} \leq C_0 r_i^{(s)}$, where C_0 is a constant independent of i and s , and

$$\lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} \max_{1 \leq i \leq I(s)} r_i^{(s)} = 0;$$

$B_2)$ for a certain continuous nondecreasing function $\alpha : [0, +\infty) \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$ satisfying the conditions $\alpha(0) = 0$ and $\alpha(t)/t \xrightarrow{t \rightarrow \infty} \infty$, the following inequality is true:

$$\sum_{i=1}^{I(s)} C_m(F_i^{(s)}) \left\{ \frac{C_m(F_i^{(s)}) + \alpha^{n-1}(d_i^{(s)})}{(r_i^{(s)})^n} \right\}^{1/(m-1)} \leq C_1.$$

Here and below, all constants C_j , $j = 0, 1, \dots$, are positive and independent of s , and $C_m(E)$ is the m -capacity of the set $E \subset B(x_0, 1/2)$, i.e.,

Institute of Applied Mathematics and Mechanics, Ukrainian Academy of Science, Donetsk. Translated from *Ukrains'kyi Matematychnyi Zhurnal*, Vol. 55, No. 6, pp. 824–839, June, 2003. Original article submitted July 1, 2002.

$$C_m(E) = \inf_{\varphi \in V(E)} \int_{B(x_0,1)} |\nabla \varphi|^m dx,$$

where $V(E) = \{ \varphi(x) \in C_0^\infty(B(x_0, 1)) : \varphi(x) = 1, x \in E \}$.

Consider the functionals

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi_s : \overset{0}{W}_m^1(\Omega_s) \times \overset{0}{W}_m^1(\Omega_s) &\rightarrow R^1, & G_s : \overset{0}{W}_m^1(\Omega_s) &\rightarrow R^1, \\ \Phi_s(u, v) &= \int_{\Omega_s} f(x, v(x), \nabla u(x)) dx, & G_s(u) &= \int_{\Omega_s} g(x, u(x)) dx. \end{aligned}$$

Denote

$$\begin{aligned} f_i(x, u, p) &= \frac{\partial f(x, u, p)}{\partial p_i}, & f_0(x, u, p) &= \frac{\partial f(x, u, p)}{\partial u}, \\ g_0(x, u) &= \frac{\partial g(x, u)}{\partial u}. \end{aligned}$$

Assume that the functions $f(x, u, p)$ and $g(x, u)$ satisfy the following conditions:

- A₁) the functions $f(x, u, p)$ and $g(x, u)$ are measurable in x for any $u \in R^1$ and $p \in R^n$ and belong to the class C^1 in u and p , and $x \in \Omega$ a.e.;
- A₂) there exist positive constants $C_2, C_3,$ and C_4 such that, for $2 \leq m < n,$ one has $m \leq m_1 < nm / (n - m), i = 1, \dots, n,$ and, for all values of $x \in \Omega, p, q \in R^n,$ and $u, v \in R^1,$ the following inequalities are true:

$$|f_i(x, u, p) - f_i(x, v, q)| \leq C_2(1 + |u|^{m_1} + |v|^{m_1} + |p|^m + |q|^m)^{(m-2)/m} (|p - q| + |u - v|),$$

$$|f_0(x, u, p)| \leq C_2(|u|^{m_1} + |p|^m)^{(m_1-1)/m_1} + \varphi(x),$$

$$f_0(x, u, p)u \geq -(C_3 - C_4)|p|^m - \varphi(x)(1 + |u|),$$

$$|g_0(x, u)| \leq C_2|u|^{m_1-1},$$

where $\varphi(x) \in L_{r_1}(\Omega)$ and $r_1 > n/m.$ Moreover, $f_i(x, u, 0) = 0$ for $x \in \Omega$ and $u \in R^1, i = 1, \dots, n;$

- A₃) $\forall x \in \bar{\Omega} \quad \forall p, q \in R^n \quad \forall u \in R^1:$

$$\sum_{i=1}^n (f_i(x, u, p) - f_i(x, u, q))(p_i - q_i) \geq C_3(1 + |p| + |q|)^{m-2} |p - q|^2;$$

$$A_4) \quad \forall x \in \overline{\Omega} \quad \forall u \in R^1:$$

$$g(x, 0) = 0, \quad f_0(x, 0, 0) = g_0(x, 0) = 0, \quad g_0(x, u)u > 0, \quad u \neq 0,$$

In the domain Ω_s , we consider the problem on eigenvalues

$$\sum_{j=1}^n \frac{d}{dx_j} f_j(x, u_s(x), \nabla u_s(x)) - f_0(x, u_s(x), \nabla u_s(x)) = \lambda_s g_0(x, u_s(x)), \quad x \in \Omega_s, \tag{1}$$

$$u_s(x) = 0, \quad x \in \partial\Omega_s. \tag{2}$$

To formulate one more condition for sets $F_i^{(s)}$ that guarantees the possibility of constructing an averaged problem, we need auxiliary functions $v_i^{(s)}(x, k)$. Let $\psi_0(x) \in C_0^\infty(B(0, 1))$ and $\psi_0(x) = 1, x \in B(0, 1/2)$. For an arbitrary real k with $d_i^{(s)} < 1/2$, we denote by $v_i^{(s)}(x, k)$ a function that belongs to the space $k\psi_0(x - x_i^{(s)}) + W_m^1(\Omega_i^{(s)})$ and satisfies the integral identity

$$\sum_{j=1}^n \int_{\Omega_i^{(s)}} f_j(x, 0, \nabla v_i^{(s)}(x)) \frac{\partial \psi(x)}{\partial x_j} dx = 0 \quad \forall \psi(x) \in W_m^1(\Omega_i^{(s)}), \tag{3}$$

$$\Omega_i^{(s)} = B(x_i^{(s)}, 1) \setminus F_i^{(s)} = B_i^{(s)} \setminus F_i^{(s)}.$$

The existence and uniqueness of the function $v_i^{(s)}(x, k)$ were proved in [4]. Outside $\Omega_i^{(s)}$, we assume that $v_i^{(s)}(x, k) = k\psi_0(x - x_i^{(s)})$.

We also assume that the following condition is satisfied:

- C) there exists a function $c(x, u)$ continuous in x for any $u \in R^1$ and belonging to the class C^1 in u for almost all $x \in \Omega$. Moreover, for any ball $B \subset \Omega$,

$$\lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{i \in I_s(B)} \sum_{j=1}^n \int_0^u \frac{1}{\mu} \int_{\Omega} f_j(x, 0, \nabla v_i^{(s)}(x, \mu)) \frac{\partial v_i^{(s)}(x, \mu)}{\partial x_j} dx d\mu = \int_B c(x, u) dx,$$

and the convergence to the limit is uniform in u on any bounded interval of u .

We introduce the functionals $W_m^1(\Omega) \times W_m^1(\Omega) \rightarrow R^1, G: W_m^1(\Omega) \rightarrow R^1,$ and $C: W_m^1(\Omega) \rightarrow R^1,$ where

$$\Phi(u, v) = \int_{\Omega} f(x, v(x), \nabla u(x)) dx, \quad G(u) = \int_{\Omega} g(x, u(x)) dx,$$

$$C(u) = \int_{\Omega} c(x, u(x)) dx.$$

In addition, denote

$$F_c(u) = \Phi(u, u) + C(-u), \quad c_0(x, u) = \frac{\partial c(x, u)}{\partial u},$$

$$M(G) = \left\{ u(x) \in W_m^0(\Omega): G(u) = 1 \right\},$$

$$M_s(G_s) = \left\{ u_s(x) \in W_m^0(\Omega_s): G_s(u_s) = 1 \right\}.$$

Consider the limit problem on eigenvalues

$$\sum_{j=1}^n \frac{d}{dx_j} f_j(x, u(x), \nabla u(x)) - f_0(x, u(x), \nabla u(x)) + c_0(x, -u(x)) = \lambda g_0(x, u(x)), \quad x \in \Omega \tag{4}$$

$$u(x) = 0, \quad x \in \partial\Omega.. \tag{5}$$

The main result of the present work is the following theorem:

Theorem 1. *Let $\lambda_s = \min_{v_s \in M_s(G_s)} F(v_s) = F(u_s)$ be the eigenvalue of problem (1), (2), let $\lambda = \min_{u \in M(G)} F_c(u) = F_c(\tilde{u})$ be the eigenvalue of problem (4), (5), and let $u_s(x)$ and $\tilde{u}(x)$ be the corresponding eigenfunctions. Suppose that conditions $B_1), B_2), A_1) - A_4),$ and $C)$ are satisfied. Then $\lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} \lambda_s = \lambda$ and the sequence $\{u_s(x)\}_{s=1}^\infty$ converges as $s \rightarrow \infty$ to $\tilde{u}(x)$ strongly in $W_r^1(\Omega)$ for any $r < m$ and weakly in $W_m^1(\Omega)$.*

2. Estimates for Solutions of the Model Problem

In [4, 6], the following lemmas were proved for the function $v_i^{(s)}(x, k)$:

Lemma 1 (Theorem 2.2 [4]). *Let conditions $A_1) - A_3)$ be satisfied and let $|k| \leq N$. Then there exists a constant C_5 that depends only on $n, m,$ and N and is such that, for $1 \leq i \leq I(s), s = 1, 2, \dots,$ the following inequalities are true:*

$$\|v_i^{(s)}\|_{W_2^1(B_i^{(s)})}^2 + \|v_i^{(s)}\|_{W_m^1(B_i^{(s)})}^m \leq C_5 \{ |k|^m C_m(F_i^{(s)}) \}^{2/m} \{ |k|^m C_m(F_i^{(s)}) + (d_i^{(s)})^n \}^{(m-2)/m},$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \| \nabla \bar{v}_i^{(s)} - \nabla \tilde{v}_i^{(s)} \|_{L_2(B_i^{(s)})}^2 + \| \nabla \bar{v}_i^{(s)} - \nabla \tilde{v}_i^{(s)} \|_{L_m(B_i^{(s)})}^2 \\ & \leq C_5 | \bar{k} - \tilde{k} |^2 \{ C_m(F_i^{(s)}) \}^{2/m} \{ C_m(F_i^{(s)}) + (d_i^{(s)})^n \}^{(m-2)/m}, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\bar{v}_i^{(s)} = v_i^{(s)}(x, \bar{k}), \quad \tilde{v}_i^{(s)} = v_i^{(s)}(x, \tilde{k}), \quad |\bar{k}| \leq N, \quad |\tilde{k}| \leq N,$$

$$|v_i^{(s)}(x, k)| \leq C_5 |k| \left(\frac{C_m(F_i^{(s)})}{|x - x_i^{(s)}|^{n-m}} \right)^{1/(m-1)}, \quad x \in B_i^{(s)} \setminus B(x_i^{(s)}, d_i^{(s)}).$$

Lemma 2. *Let conditions $A_1) - A_3)$ be satisfied. Then there exists a constant C_6 that depends only on n and m and is such that*

$$|v_i^{(s)}(x, k)| \geq C_6 |k| \left(\frac{C_m(F_i^{(s)})}{|x - x_i^{(s)}|^{n-m}} \right)^{1/(m-1)}, \quad x \in B_i^{(s)} \setminus B(x_i^{(s)}, d_i^{(s)}).$$

Proof. Denote $d_i^{(s)} = d$, $F_i^{(s)} = F$, $v_i^{(s)}(x, k) = v(x, k)$, and $x_i^{(s)} = x_0$. To solve the model problem, we introduce the test function $\psi(x) = [k - v(x, k)]\eta^m(x)$ in the integral identity (3). Here,

$$\eta(x) = \begin{cases} 1, & x \in B(x_0, \rho), \\ 0, & x \notin B(x_0, 2\rho), \end{cases} \quad \text{for } 2d \leq \rho < 1.$$

We get

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{j=1}^n \int_{B(x_0, 1) \setminus F} f_j(x, 0, \nabla v(x, k)) \frac{\partial v(x, k)}{\partial x_j} \eta^m(x) dx \\ & \leq m \sum_{j=1}^n \int_{B(x_0, 1) \setminus F} [k - v(x, k)] f_j(x, 0, \nabla v(x, k)) \frac{\partial \eta(x)}{\partial x_j} \eta^{m-1}(x) dx. \end{aligned}$$

We now use conditions $A_2)$ and $A_3)$ and the Hölder inequality. Assuming that $\sigma < (m - 1) / m$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{B(x_0, 1) \setminus F} (1 + |\nabla v(x, k)|)^{m-2} |\nabla v(x, k)|^2 \eta^m(x) dx \\ & \leq \frac{C_7 k}{\rho} \sum_{j=1}^n \int_{B(x_0, 2\rho) \setminus B(x_0, \rho)} |f_j(x, 0, \nabla v(x, k))| dx \\ & \leq C_7 C_2 \frac{kn}{\rho} \int_{B(x_0, 2\rho) \setminus B(x_0, \rho)} (1 + |\nabla v(x, k)|^m)^{(m-2)/m} |\nabla v(x, k)| dx \end{aligned}$$

$$\leq \frac{C_8 k}{\rho} \left(\int_{B(x_0, 2\rho) \setminus B(x_0, \rho)} (1 + |\nabla v(x, k)|)^m |v(x, k)|^{-\sigma m/(m-1)} dx \right)^{(m-1)/m} \times \left(\int_{B(x_0, 2\rho) \setminus B(x_0, \rho)} |v(x, k)|^{\sigma m} dx \right)^{1/m}.$$

Denote $M_1(\rho) = \max_{x \in \partial B(x_0, \rho)} v(x, k)$. Then

$$\int_{B(x_0, 1) \setminus F} (1 + |\nabla v(x, k)|)^{m-2} |\nabla v(x, k)|^2 \eta^m(x) dx \leq k C_9 M_1^\sigma(\rho) \rho^{n/m} \frac{1}{\rho} \times \left(\int_{B(x_0, 2\rho) \setminus B(x_0, \rho)} (1 + |\nabla v(x, k)|)^m |v(x, k)|^{-\sigma m/(m-1)} dx \right)^{(m-1)/m}.$$

We define one more cut-off function

$$\chi(x) = \begin{cases} 1, & x \in B(x_0, 2\rho), \\ 0, & x \notin B(x_0, 4\rho) \setminus B(x_0, \rho/2). \end{cases}$$

Then

$$\int_{B(x_0, 2\rho)} (1 + |\nabla v(x, k)|)^{m-2} |\nabla v(x, k)|^2 \eta^m(x) dx \leq k C_9 M_1(\rho) \rho^{n/m} \frac{1}{\rho} \times \left(\int_{B(x_0, 4\rho)} (1 + |\nabla v(x, k)|)^m |v(x, k)|^{-\sigma m/(m-1)} \chi^m(x) dx \right)^{(m-1)/m}. \tag{6}$$

For the estimation of the integral on the right-hand side of inequality (6), we introduce the test function $\psi(x) = [v(x, k)]^{1-\sigma m/(m-1)} \chi^m(x)$ in the integral identity (3). As a result, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{j=1}^n \int_{B(x_0, 4\rho)} f_j(x, 0, \nabla v(x, k)) \left(1 - \sigma \frac{m}{m-1} \right) (v(x, k))^{-\sigma m/(m-1)} \frac{\partial v(x, k)}{\partial x_j} \chi^m(x) dx \\ & = -m \sum_{j=1}^n \int_{B(x_0, 4\rho)} f_j(x, 0, \nabla v(x, k)) (v(x, k))^{1-\sigma m/(m-1)} \frac{\partial \chi(x)}{\partial x_j} \chi^{m-1}(x) dx. \end{aligned}$$

Using conditions $A_2)$ and $A_3)$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(1 - \sigma \frac{m}{m-1}\right) \int_{B(x_0, 4\rho)} (1 + |\nabla v(x, k)|)^{m-2} |\nabla v(x, k)|^2 (v(x, k))^{-\sigma m/(m-1)} \chi^m(x) dx \\ & \leq \frac{C_{10}}{\rho} \int_{B(x_0, 4\rho) \setminus B(x_0, \rho/2)} (1 + |\nabla v(x, k)|)^{m-1} (v(x, k))^{1-\sigma m/(m-1)} \chi^{m-1}(x) dx. \end{aligned}$$

Applying the Young inequality with exponents m and $m/(m-1)$ to the factors

$$a = (1 + |\nabla v(x, k)|)^{m-1} (v(x, k))^{-\sigma} \chi^{m-1}(x) \text{ and } b = \frac{1}{\rho} (v(x, k))^{1-\sigma/(m-1)},$$

we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{B(x_0, 4\rho)} (1 + |\nabla v(x, k)|)^m (v(x, k))^{-\sigma m/(m-1)} \chi^m(x) dx \\ & \leq C_{11} \varepsilon^{m/(m-1)} \int_{B(x_0, 4\rho) \setminus B(x_0, \rho/2)} (1 + |\nabla v(x, k)|)^m (v(x, k))^{-\sigma m/(m-1)} \chi^m(x) dx \\ & \quad + \frac{C_{11}}{\rho^m \varepsilon^m} \int_{B(x_0, 4\rho) \setminus B(x_0, \rho/2)} (v(x, k))^{m-\sigma m/(m-1)} dx. \end{aligned}$$

We now choose ε from the condition $C_{11} \varepsilon^{m/(m-1)} = 1/2$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{B(x_0, 4\rho)} (1 + |\nabla v(x, k)|)^m (v(x, k))^{-\sigma m/(m-1)} \chi^m(x) dx \\ & \leq \frac{C_{12}}{\rho^m} \int_{B(x_0, 4\rho) \setminus B(x_0, \rho/2)} (v(x, k))^{m-\sigma m/(m-1)} dx \leq C_{13} \left(M\left(\frac{\rho}{2}\right)\right)^{m-\sigma m/(m-1)} \rho^{n-m}. \end{aligned}$$

Returning to estimate (6) and using the inequality proved above, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{B(x_0, 1) \setminus F} (1 + |\nabla v(x, k)|)^{m-2} |\nabla v(x, k)|^2 \eta^m(x) dx \\ & \leq k C_{14} M_1^\sigma(\rho) \rho^{n/m} \frac{1}{\rho} \left[\left(M_1\left(\frac{\rho}{2}\right)\right)^{m-\sigma m/(m-1)} \rho^{n-m} \right]^{(m-1)/m} \\ & = k C_{14} \rho^{n-m} M_1^\sigma(\rho) M_1^{m-1-\sigma} \left(\frac{\rho}{2}\right) \leq k C_{14} \rho^{n-m} M_1^{m-1} \left(\frac{\rho}{2}\right). \end{aligned}$$

This yields

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{B(x_0, 2\rho)} |\nabla(v(x, k)\eta(x))|^m dx &\leq kC_{15}\rho^{n-m}M_1^{m-1}\left(\frac{\rho}{2}\right) \\ &+ C_{15} \int_{B(x_0, 2\rho)} |\nabla\eta(x)|^m|v(x, k)|^m dx \leq kC_{15}\rho^{n-m}M_1^{m-1}\left(\frac{\rho}{2}\right) \\ &+ \frac{C_{16}}{\rho^m}k \int_{B(x_0, 2\rho)} |v(x, k)|^{m-1} dx \leq kC_{17}\rho^{n-m}M_1^{m-1}\left(\frac{\rho}{2}\right). \end{aligned}$$

The left-hand side of the last inequality is estimated using the definition of capacity as follows:

$$\int_{B(x_i^{(s)}, 2\rho)} |\nabla(v_i^{(s)}(x, k)\eta(x))|^m dx \geq k^m C_m(F_i^{(s)}).$$

Finally, we get

$$k^m C_m(F_i^{(s)}) \leq kC_{17}\rho^{n-m}M_1^{m-1}\left(\frac{\rho}{2}\right).$$

It is easy to see that

$$M_1(\rho) \geq C_{18}k \left\{ \frac{C_m(F_i^{(s)})}{\rho^{n-m}} \right\}^{1/(m-1)}.$$

Using the Harnack inequality, we get

$$\min_{|x|=\rho} v_i^{(s)}(x, k) \geq C_{19}k \left\{ \frac{C_m(F_i^{(s)})}{\rho^{n-m}} \right\}^{1/(m-1)}$$

which was to be proved.

Using the scheme of the proof of an analogous inequality in [5, 6], we get the following pointwise estimate:

Lemma 3. *Let conditions $A_1) - A_3)$ be satisfied and let $|k| \leq N$. Then there exists a constant C_{20} that depends only on n, m , and N and is such that, for $1 \leq i \leq I(s)$, $s = 1, 2, \dots$, the following inequality is true:*

$$|\nabla v_i^{(s)}(x, k)| \leq C_{18} \frac{|k|}{|x - x_i^{(s)}|} \left\{ \frac{C_m(F_i^{(s)})}{|x - x_i^{(s)}|^{n-m}} \right\}^{1/(m-1)},$$

$$x \in B\left(x_i^{(s)}, \frac{3}{4}\right) \setminus B(x_i^{(s)}, 2d_i^{(s)}).$$

Proof. We use the same notation as in the proof of Lemma 2. Assume for definiteness that $k > 0$. Since $m < n$, there exists a constant C_{21} that depends only on s and is such that

$$\inf \left\{ \int_{B(x_0, \rho)} |\nabla \varphi|^m dx : \varphi \in C_0^\infty(B(x_0, \rho)), \varphi(x) = 1, x \in F \right\} \leq C_{21} C_m(F).$$

Hence, for any $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists a function $\varphi(x) \in C_0^\infty(B(x_0, \rho))$, $\varphi(x) = 1$ for $x \in F$, such that

$$\int_{B(x_0, \rho)} |\nabla \varphi|^m dx \leq C_{21} (C_m(F) + \varepsilon).$$

Let $z(x) = \max \{2\varphi(x) - 1, 0\}$ and $G = \{x \in B(x_0, \rho) : z(x) > 0\} = \{x \in B(x_0, \rho) : \varphi(x) > 1/2\}$. Using the Poincaré inequalities, we get

$$\text{meas } G \leq 2^m \int_{B(x_0, \rho)} |\varphi(x)|^m dx \leq C_{22} \rho^m \int_{B(x_0, \rho)} |\nabla \varphi(x)|^m dx \leq C_{23} \rho^m (C_m(F) + \varepsilon). \tag{7}$$

Let M be an arbitrary number from the interval $(0, k)$. To solve the model problem for $v(x, k)$, we introduce the test function $\psi(x) = v_M(x) - Mz(x)$ in the integral identity (3). Here,

$$v_M(x) = \begin{cases} v(x, k), & x \in E_M = \{x \in B(x_0, 1) \setminus F : 0 \leq v(x, k) \leq M\}, \\ M, & x \in B(x_0, 1) \setminus E_M. \end{cases}$$

Using conditions A_2) and A_3), the Young inequality, and relation (7), we obtain

$$\int_{E_M'} (|\nabla v(x, k)|^2 + |\nabla v(x, k)|^m) dx \leq C_{24} M (C_m(F) + \varepsilon)$$

and then pass to the limit as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$. Choosing

$$M = M' = \max_{B(x_0, 1) \setminus B(x_0, 2\rho/3)} |v(x, k)| \leq C_5 |k| \left\{ \frac{C_m(F)}{\rho^{n-m}} \right\}^{1/(m-1)},$$

we get

$$\int_{E_M'} (|\nabla v(x, k)|^2 + |\nabla v(x, k)|^m) dx \leq C_{25} |k| \left\{ \frac{C_m(F)}{\rho^{n-m}} \right\}^{1/(m-1)} C_m(F). \tag{8}$$

We choose ρ so that $2d \leq \rho \leq 3/4$. Proceeding by analogy with the proof of Theorem 5 in [5] and using the Moser method, we obtain

$$\text{vrai max} \left\{ |\nabla v(x, k)|^m : \frac{3}{4}\rho \leq |x - x_0| \leq \frac{5}{4}\rho \right\} \leq C_{25} \rho^{-n} \int_{E_M'} (1 + |\nabla v(x, k)|)^{m-2} |\nabla v(x, k)|^2 \psi^2(x) dx,$$

where $\psi(x) \in C_0^\infty(B(x_0, 1))$ is the function equal to 1 for $3\rho/4 \leq |x - x_0| \leq 5\rho/4$ and to 0 outside the set $2\rho/3 \leq |x - x_0| \leq 4\rho/3$ and such that $0 \leq \psi(x) \leq 1$, $|\nabla \psi| \leq 20/\rho$. This and (8) yield

$$|\nabla v(x, k)|^m \leq C_{26} \rho^{-n} \int_{E_M'} (1 + |\nabla v(x, k)|)^{m-2} |\nabla v(x, k)|^2 dx \leq C_{27} |k| \rho^{-n} \left\{ \frac{C_m(F)}{\rho^{n-m}} \right\}^{1/(m-1)} C_m(F).$$

Finally, we obtain

$$|\nabla v(x, k)|^m \leq C_{28} |k| \left\{ \frac{C_m(F)}{\rho^{n-m}} \right\}^{m/(m-1)} \frac{1}{\rho^m}$$

for $x: 3\rho/4 \leq |x - x_0| \leq 5\rho/4$, which proves the required estimate.

3. Proof of the Main Theorem

Condition A_2) implies that the functionals $\Phi(v_1, v_2)$ and $G(v)$ are differentiable, i.e., $\Phi'(v_1, v_2) = \Phi'_1(v_1, v_2) + \Phi'_2(v_1, v_2)$, where

$$(\Phi'_1(v_1, v_2), u) = \int_{\Omega} \sum_{i=1}^n f_i(x, v_2, \nabla v_1) \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} dx,$$

$$(\Phi'_2(v_1, v_2), u) = \int_{\Omega} f_0(x, v_2, \nabla v_1) u dx \quad \forall u \in \overset{0}{W}_m^1(\Omega)$$

and

$$(G'(v), u) = \int_{\Omega} g_0(x, v) u dx \quad \forall u \in \overset{0}{W}_m^1(\Omega).$$

By analogy, we can define the derivatives of the functionals $\Phi_s(v_1, v_2)$ and $G_s(v)$.

Conditions A_2) and A_3) also imply that the functional $G(u)$ is weakly continuous, i.e., the weak convergence of the sequence $\{u_n(x)\}_{n=1}^\infty$ to $u_0(x)$ in $\overset{0}{W}_m^1(\Omega)$ yields the convergence of $G(u_n)$ to $G(u_0)$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. In this case, the functional $\Phi(u, v)$ has the following properties:

- (a) for any function $v(x) \in \overset{0}{W}_m^1(\Omega)$ and $C \in R^1$, the set $\Phi_{C,v} = \{u \in \overset{0}{W}_m^1(\Omega) : \Phi(u, v) \leq C\}$ is convex;

(b) for any bounded set $D \subset W_m^1(\Omega)$ and any sequence $v_n(x) \in W_m^1(\Omega)$, the convergence $v_n(x) \rightharpoonup v_0(x)$ implies that $\Phi(u, v_n) \rightarrow \Phi(u, v_0)$ uniformly in $u \in D$; moreover, for any $v(x) \in W_m^1(\Omega)$, the functional $\Phi(\cdot, v): W_m^1(\Omega) \rightarrow R^1$ is continuous. [Here, \rightharpoonup denotes weak convergence in $W_m^1(\Omega)$].

Condition A_4) implies that the sets $M_s(G_s)$ and $M(G)$ are manifolds of the class C^1 such that $G'_s(u) \neq 0$ for $u \in M_s(G_s)$ and $G'(u) \neq 0$ for $u \in M(G)$. In [7, Theorem 5], the following statement was proved:

Theorem 2. *Let V be a reflexive Banach space, let $\Phi(u, v): V \times V \rightarrow R^1$ be a real functional convex with respect to u , let $G(v)$ be a weakly continuous real functional on V , let $F(v) = \Phi(v, v)$, and let $v \in V$. Assume that F and G are differentiable in V , and, for a given $c \in R^1$, the set $M = \{v \in V: G(v) = c\}$ is not empty and $G'(v) \neq 0$ for $v \in M$. Let $F(v) \rightarrow \infty$ as $\|v\| \rightarrow \infty$ on M . Then there exist $v_0 \in M$ and $\lambda \in R^1$ such that $F'(v_0) = \lambda G'(v_0)$ and $v_0 = \min_{v \in M} F(v)$.*

In other words, if conditions $A_1) - A_4)$ are satisfied, then there exists the eigenvalue $\lambda_s = \min_{v_s \in M_s(G_s)} F(v_s) = F(u_s)$ of problem (1), (2), and $u_s(x)$ is the corresponding eigenfunction. Using Lemmas 1–3, we can prove that the function $c(x, u)$ is differentiable with respect to u and

$$|c(x, u)| \leq C_{29} |u|^m, \quad |c_0(x, u)| \leq C_{29} |u|^{m-1},$$

$$c(x, u) \geq 0, \quad c_0(x, 0) = 0.$$

Then there exists the eigenvalue $\lambda = \min_{u \in M(G)} F_c(u) = F_c(\tilde{u})$ of problem (4), (5), and $\tilde{u}(x)$ is the corresponding eigenfunction. One can prove that $\text{vrai max}_{x \in \Omega} |\tilde{u}(x)|$ is bounded. Let $\{\tilde{u}_k(x)\}_{k=1}^\infty$ be a uniformly bounded sequence of functions from $C^\infty(\Omega)$ that converges to $\tilde{u}(x)$ in $W_m^1(\Omega)$.

We construct a sequence $\{\tilde{u}_{s,k}(x)\}_{s=1}^\infty$ that weakly converges to $\tilde{u}_k(x)$ in $W_m^1(\Omega)$ as $s \rightarrow \infty$, $\tilde{u}_{s,k}(x) \in M_s(G)$. To this end, by using the function $\alpha(t)$ and condition $B_2)$, we define a nondecreasing function $\omega: [0, +\infty) \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$ such that $\omega(t) \leq C_0 t / (1 + C_0)$, where the constant C_0 is taken from condition $B_1)$, and

$$\omega(t) \leq t^{n/(n-1)}, \quad \frac{\omega(t)}{t} \xrightarrow{t \rightarrow 0} 0,$$

$$\frac{\alpha^{n-1}(\omega(t))}{t^n} \xrightarrow{t \rightarrow 0} \infty, \quad \frac{t^n}{\omega^{n-m}(t)} \xrightarrow{t \rightarrow \infty} 0.$$

We also introduce the sequence $\rho_i^{(s)} = \max\{d_i^{(s)}, \omega(r_i^{(s)})\}$ and the subsets of indices $I'_s = \{i: i = 1, \dots, I(s), d_i^{(s)} \geq \omega(r_i^{(s)})\}$, and $I''_s = \{i: i = 1, \dots, I(s), d_i^{(s)} < \omega(r_i^{(s)})\}$. In [4], the following statement was proved:

Lemma 4 (Lemma 3.1 in [4]). *If conditions B_1) and B_2) are satisfied, then*

$$\lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{i \in I'_s} C_m(F_i^{(s)}) = 0, \quad \lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{i \in I''_s} (\rho_i^{(s)})^n = 0, \quad \sum_{i=1}^{I(s)} C_m(F_i^{(s)}) \leq C_{30}.$$

We define cut-off functions:

$$(i) \quad \psi_i^{(s)}(x) \in \overset{0}{W}_m^1\left(B\left(x_i^{(s)}, \left(1 + \frac{1}{2C_0}\right)d_i^{(s)}\right)\right), \quad \psi_i^{(s)}(x) = 1, \quad x \in F_i^{(s)},$$

$$0 \leq \psi_i^{(s)}(x) \leq 1, \quad \int_{\Omega} |\nabla \psi_i^{(s)}(x)|^m dx \leq C_{31} \{ C_m(F_i^{(s)}) + 2^{-i-s} \},$$

(ii) we choose numbers τ_1 and τ_2 and functions $\phi_i^{(s)}(x) \in C_0^\infty(\Omega)$ so that $1 < \tau_1 < \tau_2 < 1 + (1/2C_0)$ and

$$0 \leq \phi_i^{(s)}(x) \leq 1, \quad |\nabla \phi_i^{(s)}| \leq \frac{C_{32}}{\rho_i^{(s)}},$$

$$\phi_i^{(s)}(x) = \begin{cases} 1, & x \in B(x_i^{(s)}, \tau_1 \rho_i^{(s)}), \\ 0, & x \notin B(x_i^{(s)}, \tau_2 \rho_i^{(s)}). \end{cases}$$

The supports of the functions $\phi_i^{(s)}(x)$ ($\psi_i^{(s)}(x)$) do not intersect for a given s and different i . By $\tilde{u}_{k,i}^s$, we denote the average of the function $\tilde{u}_k(x)$ over the ball:

$$\tilde{u}_{k,i}^s = \frac{1}{\text{meas } D_i^{(s)}} \int_{D_i^{(s)}} \tilde{u}_k(x) dx.$$

For any fixed $\mu \in (0, 1)$, we define a function $\tilde{u}_{s,k}(x) \in \overset{0}{W}_m^1(\Omega_s)$:

$$\tilde{u}_{s,k}(x) = \tilde{u}_k(x) + \frac{1}{\mu} \sum_{i=1}^3 \tilde{q}_{s,k}^{(i)}(x), \tag{9}$$

$$\tilde{q}_{s,k}^{(1)}(x) = \mu \sum_{i \in I'_s} (\tilde{u}_{k,i}^{(s)} - \tilde{u}_k(x)) \psi_i^{(s)}(x) + \mu \sum_{i \in I''_s} (\tilde{u}_{k,i}^{(s)} - \tilde{u}_k(x)) \phi_i^{(s)}(x),$$

$$\tilde{q}_{s,k}^{(2)}(x) = \sum_{i \in I'_s} v_i^{(s)}(x, -\mu \tilde{u}_{k,i}^{(s)}) \phi_i^{(s)}(x), \tag{10}$$

$$\tilde{q}_{s,k}^{(3)}(x) = \sum_{i \in I''_s} v_i^{(s)}(x, -\mu \tilde{u}_{k,i}^{(s)}) \phi_i^{(s)}(x).$$

By using the properties of a solution of the model problem and Lemma 4, we prove the following statements:

Lemma 5. *If conditions $A_1) - A_3), B_1),$ and $B_2)$ are satisfied, then the sequences $\tilde{q}_{s,k}^{(1)}(x)$ and $\tilde{q}_{s,k}^{(2)}(x)$ strongly converge to zero in $W_m^1(\Omega)$ as $s \rightarrow \infty$.*

Lemma 6. *If conditions $A_1) - A_3), B_1),$ and $B_2)$ are satisfied, then the sequence $\tilde{q}_{s,k}^{(3)}(x)$ strongly converges to zero as $s \rightarrow \infty$ in $W_r^1(\Omega)$ for any $r < m$ and weakly converges in $W_m^1(\Omega)$.*

Conditions $A_2)$ and $A_4)$ imply that, for any fixed s , there is a constant $l(s)$ such that $G_s(l(s)\tilde{u}_{s,k}(x)) = 1$. One can prove that

$$\lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} l(s) = 1. \tag{11}$$

Indeed, by construction, $\tilde{u}_{s,k}(x) \rightharpoonup \tilde{u}_k(x)$ in $W_m^1(\Omega)$ as $s \rightarrow \infty$. Let $\lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} l(s) = l \neq 1$. The weak convergence of the functional G yields

$$1 = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} G_s(l(s)\tilde{u}_{s,k}(x)) = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} G(l(s)\tilde{u}_{s,k}(x)) = G(l\tilde{u}(x)),$$

i.e., $G(l\tilde{u}(x)) = G(\tilde{u}(x)) = 1$. It is obvious that this yields $l = 1$, and (11) is proved.

We choose $\tilde{u}_{s,k}(x) = l(s)\tilde{u}_{s,k}(x)$. For this choice of the function $\tilde{u}_{s,k}(x)$, we obtain the following statement by using Lemmas 5 and 6 and condition C).

Lemma 7. *Let conditions $A_1) - A_3), B_1), B_2),$ and C) be satisfied. Then*

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^1 \int_{\Omega} f_0(x, \tilde{u}_k(x) + \theta(\tilde{u}_{s,k}(x) - \tilde{u}_k(x)), \nabla \tilde{u}_{s,k}(x)) [\tilde{u}_{s,k}(x) - \tilde{u}_k(x)] dx d\theta = 0, \tag{12}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^1 \int_{\Omega} \sum_{j=1}^n f_j(x, \tilde{u}_k(x), \nabla \tilde{u}_k(x) + \mu(\nabla \tilde{u}_{s,k}(x) - \nabla \tilde{u}_k(x))) \\ &\quad \times \frac{\partial [\tilde{u}_{s,k}(x) - \tilde{u}_k(x)]}{\partial x_j} dx d\mu = \int_{\Omega} c(x, -\tilde{u}(x)) dx. \end{aligned} \tag{13}$$

Proof. Indeed, using condition $A_2)$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} &\left| \int_0^1 \int_{\Omega} f_0(x, \tilde{u}_k(x) + \theta(\tilde{u}_{s,k}(x) - \tilde{u}_k(x)), \nabla \tilde{u}_{s,k}(x)) [\tilde{u}_{s,k}(x) - \tilde{u}_k(x)] dx d\theta \right| \\ &\leq C_{32} \int_0^1 \int_{\Omega} \left[\left(1 + |\tilde{u}_k(x) + \theta(\tilde{u}_{s,k}(x) - \tilde{u}_k(x))|^{m_1} + |\nabla \tilde{u}_{s,k}(x)|^m \right)^{(m_1-1)/m_1} + \varphi(x) \right] |\tilde{u}_{s,k}(x) - \tilde{u}_k(x)| dx d\theta. \end{aligned}$$

The integral on the right-hand side of the last inequality tends to zero as $s \rightarrow \infty$ because the sequence $\left\{ \left(\tilde{u}_{s,k}(x) - \tilde{u}_k(x) \right) \right\}_{s=1}^{\infty}$ converges weakly to zero in $W_m^1(\Omega)$, i.e., (12) is proved. Then

$$\int_0^1 \int_{\Omega} \sum_{j=1}^n f_j(x, \tilde{u}_k(x), \nabla \tilde{u}_k(x) + \mu(\nabla \tilde{u}_{s,k}(x) - \nabla \tilde{u}_k(x))) \frac{\partial [\tilde{u}_{s,k}(x) - \tilde{u}_k(x)]}{\partial x_j} dx d\mu = I_1^{(s,k)} + I_2^{(s,k)} + I_3^{(s,k)}, \tag{14}$$

where

$$I_1^{(s,k)} = (l(s) - 1) \int_0^1 \int_{\Omega} \sum_{j=1}^n f_j(x, \tilde{u}_k(x), \nabla \tilde{u}_k(x) + \mu(\nabla \tilde{u}_{s,k}(x) - \nabla \tilde{u}_k(x))) \frac{\partial \tilde{u}_k(x)}{\partial x_j} dx d\mu,$$

$$I_2^{(s,k)} = l(s) \int_0^1 \frac{1}{\mu} \int_{\Omega} \sum_{j=1}^n f_j(x, \tilde{u}_k(x), \nabla \tilde{u}_k(x) + \mu(\nabla \tilde{u}_{s,k}(x) - \nabla \tilde{u}_k(x))) \frac{\partial (\tilde{q}_{s,k}^{(1)}(x) + \tilde{q}_{s,k}^{(2)}(x))}{\partial x_j} dx d\mu,$$

$$I_3^{(s,k)} = l(s) \int_0^1 \frac{1}{\mu} \int_{\Omega} \sum_{j=1}^n f_j(x, \tilde{u}_k(x), \nabla \tilde{u}_k(x) + \mu(\nabla \tilde{u}_{s,k}(x) - \nabla \tilde{u}_k(x))) \frac{\partial \tilde{q}_{s,k}^{(3)}(x)}{\partial x_j} dx d\mu.$$

Since $\lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} (l(s) - 1) = 0$, we have $\lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} I_1^{(s,k)} = 0$. Lemma 5 yields $\lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} I_2^{(s,k)} = 0$. We separately consider

$$I_3^{(s,k)} = \int_0^1 \frac{1}{\mu} \int_{\Omega} \sum_{j=1}^n f_j(x, 0, \nabla \tilde{q}_{s,k}^{(3)}(x)) \frac{\partial \tilde{q}_{s,k}^{(3)}}{\partial x_j} dx d\mu + I_{3,1}^{(s,k)} + I_{3,2}^{(s,k)} + I_{3,3}^{(s,k)} + I_{3,4}^{(s,k)}, \tag{15}$$

where

$$I_{3,1}^{(s,k)} = l(s) \int_0^1 \int_{\Omega} \sum_{j=1}^n \left[f_j(x, \tilde{u}_k(x), \nabla \tilde{u}_k(x) + \mu(\nabla \tilde{u}_{s,k}(x) - \nabla \tilde{u}_k(x))) - f_j(x, \tilde{u}_k(x), l(s) \nabla \tilde{q}_{s,k}^{(3)}(x)) \right] \frac{\partial \tilde{q}_{s,k}^{(3)}}{\partial x_j} dx d\mu,$$

$$I_{3,2}^{(s,k)} = l(s) \int_0^1 \frac{1}{\mu} \int_{\Omega} \sum_{j=1}^n \left[f_j(x, \tilde{u}_k(x), l(s) \nabla \tilde{q}_{s,k}^{(3)}(x)) - f_j(x, \tilde{u}_k(x), \nabla \tilde{q}_{s,k}^{(3)}(x)) \right] \frac{\partial \tilde{q}_{s,k}^{(3)}}{\partial x_j} dx d\mu,$$

$$I_{3,3}^{(s,k)} = l(s) \int_0^1 \frac{1}{\mu} \int_{\Omega} \sum_{j=1}^n \left[f_j(x, \tilde{u}_k(x), \nabla \tilde{q}_{s,k}^{(3)}(x)) - f_j(x, 0, \nabla \tilde{q}_{s,k}^{(3)}(x)) \right] \frac{\partial \tilde{q}_{s,k}^{(3)}}{\partial x_j} dx d\mu,$$

$$I_{3,4}^{(s,k)} = [l(s) - 1] \int_0^1 \frac{1}{\mu} \int_{\Omega} \sum_{j=1}^n f_j(x, 0, \nabla \tilde{q}_{s,k}^{(3)}(x)) \frac{\partial \tilde{q}_{s,k}^{(3)}}{\partial x_j} dx d\mu.$$

For the estimation of $I_{3,1}^{(s,k)}$, we use condition A_2) and the Hölder inequality:

$$|I_{3,1}^{(s,k)}| \leq C_{33} \int_0^1 \frac{1}{\mu} \left(\int_{\Omega} \left(1 + |\tilde{u}_k|^{m_1} + |\nabla \tilde{u}_k|^m + |\nabla \tilde{q}_{s,k}^{(1)}|^m + |\nabla \tilde{q}_{s,k}^{(2)}|^m + |\nabla \tilde{q}_{s,k}^{(3)}|^m \right) dx \right) \\ \times \left\{ \left[\int_{\Omega} \left(|\nabla \tilde{q}_{s,k}^{(1)}| + |\nabla \tilde{q}_{s,k}^{(2)}| \right)^{m/2} |\nabla \tilde{q}_{s,k}^{(3)}|^{m/2} dx \right]^{2/m} + \left[\int_{\Omega} \left(|\nabla \tilde{u}_k| |\nabla \tilde{q}_{s,k}^{(3)}| \right)^{m/2} dx \right]^{2/m} \right\} d\mu.$$

The first term in braces tends to zero as $s \rightarrow \infty$ by virtue of Lemmas 5 and 6. Consider the second term:

$$\int_{\Omega} \left(|\nabla \tilde{u}_k| |\nabla \tilde{q}_{s,k}^{(3)}| \right)^{m/2} dx \leq C_{34} \sum_{i \in I'_s} \left(\int_{D_i^{(s)}} |\nabla \tilde{u}_k|^m dx \right)^{1/2} \left(\int_{B_i^{(s)}} |\nabla (v_i^{(s)}(x, -\mu \tilde{u}_{k,i}^{(s)}) \phi_i^{(s)}(x))|^m dx \right)^{1/2}.$$

Its convergence to zero follows from the absolute continuity of the integral because

$$\lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\bigcup_{i \in I'_s} D_i^{(s)}} |\nabla \tilde{u}_k|^m dx = 0.$$

Moreover,

$$\int_{\Omega} \left(1 + |\tilde{u}_k|^{m_1} + |\nabla \tilde{u}_k|^m + |\nabla \tilde{q}_{s,k}^{(1)}|^m + |\nabla \tilde{q}_{s,k}^{(2)}|^m + |\nabla \tilde{q}_{s,k}^{(3)}|^m \right) dx \leq C_{35},$$

where the constant C_{35} is independent of s . This yields $\lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} I_{3,1}^{(s,k)} = 0$, $\lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} I_{3,2}^{(s,k)} = 0$, and $\lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} I_{3,4}^{(s,k)} = 0$ by virtue of (10), and $\lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} I_{3,3}^{(s,k)} = 0$ by virtue of Lemmas 5 and 6. We represent the remaining integral on the right-hand side of (15) as follows:

$$\int_0^1 \frac{1}{\mu} \int_{\Omega} \sum_{j=1}^n f_j(x, 0, \nabla \tilde{q}_{s,k}^{(3)}(x)) \frac{\partial \tilde{q}_{s,k}^{(3)}}{\partial x_j} dx d\mu = I_4^{(s,k)} + I_5^{(s,k)}, \tag{16}$$

where

$$I_4^{(s,k)} = \int_0^1 \frac{1}{\mu} \int_{\Omega} \sum_{i \in I'_s} \sum_{j=1}^n f_j(x, 0, \nabla \tilde{v}_i^{(s)}(x, -\mu \tilde{u}_{k,i}^{(s)})) \frac{\partial \tilde{v}_i^{(s)}(x, -\mu \tilde{u}_{k,i}^{(s)})}{\partial x_j} dx d\mu,$$

$$I_5^{(s,k)} = \int_0^1 \frac{1}{\mu} \int_{\Omega} \sum_{i \in I_s''} \sum_{j=1}^n \left[f_j(x, 0, \nabla(\tilde{v}_i^{(s)}(x, -\mu \tilde{u}_{k,i}^{(s)})) \varphi_i^{(s)}(x)) \frac{\partial(\tilde{v}_i^{(s)}(x, -\mu \tilde{u}_{k,i}^{(s)}) \varphi_i^{(s)}(x))}{\partial x_j} - f_j(x, 0, \nabla \tilde{v}_i^{(s)}(x, -\mu \tilde{u}_{k,i}^{(s)})) \frac{\partial \tilde{v}_i^{(s)}(x, -\mu \tilde{u}_{k,i}^{(s)})}{\partial x_j} \right] dx d\mu.$$

Using the methods presented in Chap. 9 in [4], we prove that $\lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} I_5^{(s,k)} = 0$.

Given the number k , we determine $d = d(k) > 0$ so that the oscillation of the function $\tilde{u}_k(x)$ does not exceed $(1/k)^{m/2}$ on any set $E \subset \Omega$ whose diameter is less than $2d$. The possibility of such a choice for $d(k)$ follows from the continuity of the indicated functions in $\overline{\Omega}$. We represent the set $\overline{\Omega}$ as the union of disjoint sets $\overline{\Omega}_l, l = 1, \dots, L(k)$, with piecewise smooth boundaries so that the diameter of every set Ω_l is less than d .

We choose a number $s_1 = s_1(k)$ such that, for $s \geq s_1$, the inequality $r_i^{(s)} + d_i^{(s)} < d$ is satisfied for $i = \overline{1, I(s)}$. By $I_s(\Omega_l)$, we denote the set of indices $i \in I_s''$ such that $x_i^{(s)} \in \Omega_l$. We define the following average:

$$\tilde{u}_l^{(k)} = \frac{1}{\text{meas} \Omega_l} \int_{\Omega_l} \tilde{u}_k(x) dx.$$

Then

$$I_4^{(s,k)} = \int_0^1 \frac{1}{\mu} \int_{\Omega} \sum_{l=1}^L \sum_{i \in I_s(\Omega_l)} \sum_{j=1}^n f_j(x, 0, \nabla \tilde{v}_i^{(s)}(x, -\mu \tilde{u}_l^{(k)})) \frac{\partial \tilde{v}_i^{(s)}(x, -\mu \tilde{u}_l^{(k)})}{\partial x_j} dx d\mu + \int_0^1 \frac{1}{\mu} \int_{\Omega} \sum_{l=1}^L \sum_{i \in I_s(\Omega_l)} \sum_{j=1}^n \left[f_j(x, 0, \nabla \tilde{v}_i^{(s)}(x, -\mu \tilde{u}_{k,i}^{(s)})) \frac{\partial \tilde{v}_i^{(s)}(x, -\mu \tilde{u}_{k,i}^{(s)})}{\partial x_j} - f_j(x, 0, \nabla \tilde{v}_i^{(s)}(x, -\mu \tilde{u}_l^{(k)})) \frac{\partial \tilde{v}_i^{(s)}(x, -\mu \tilde{u}_l^{(k)})}{\partial x_j} \right] dx d\mu = I_6^{(s,k)} + I_7^{(s,k)}.$$

The choice of the partition of the domain Ω yields $\lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} I_7^{(s,k)} = 0$. In view of the given partition $\{\Omega_l\}_{l=1}^L$ of the domain Ω , we choose a number $s_2 = s_2(k)$ so that, for $|t| \leq M, s \geq s_2, l = 1, \dots, L$, we have

$$\left| \int_0^1 \frac{1}{\mu} \int_{\Omega} \sum_{i \in I_s''} \sum_{j=1}^n f_j(x, 0, \nabla \tilde{v}_i^{(s)}(x, -\mu)) \frac{\partial \tilde{v}_i^{(s)}(x, -\mu)}{\partial x_j} dx d\mu - \int_{\Omega} c(x, t) dx \right| \leq \frac{1}{Lk}. \tag{17}$$

We represent $I_6^{(s,k)}$ as follows:

$$I_6^{(s,k)} = \sum_{l=1}^L \sum_{i \in I_s(\Omega_l)} \sum_{j=1}^n \int_0^{-\tilde{u}_l^{(k)}} \frac{1}{\mu} \int_{\Omega} f_j(x, 0, \nabla \tilde{v}_i^{(s)}(x, \mu)) \frac{\partial \tilde{v}_i^{(s)}(x, \mu)}{\partial x_j} dx d\mu = \int_{\Omega} c(x, -\tilde{u}(x)) dx + I_{6,1}^{(s,k)} + I_{6,2}^{(k)} + I_{6,3}^{(k)}, \tag{18}$$

where

$$I_{6,1}^{(s,k)} = \sum_{l=1}^L \left[\sum_{i \in I_s(\Omega_l)} \sum_{j=1}^n \int_0^{-\tilde{u}_l^{(k)}} \frac{1}{\mu} \int_{\Omega} f_j(x, 0, \nabla \tilde{v}_i^{(s)}(x, \mu)) \frac{\partial \tilde{v}_i^{(s)}(x, \mu)}{\partial x_j} dx d\mu - \int_{\Omega_l} c(x, -\tilde{u}_l^{(k)}) dx \right],$$

$$I_{6,2}^{(k)} = \sum_{l=1}^L \int_{\Omega_l} c(x, -\tilde{u}_l^{(k)}) dx - \int_{\Omega} c(x, -\tilde{u}_k(x)) dx,$$

$$I_{6,3}^{(k)} = \int_{\Omega} [c(x, -\tilde{u}_k(x)) - c(x, -\tilde{u}(x))] dx.$$

Inequality (17) yields $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} I_{6,1}^{(s,k)} = 0$, and the continuity of the function $c(x, t)$ leads to $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} I_{6,m}^{(k)} = 0$, $m = 2, 3$. Relations (14)–(16) and (18) yield (13), and the proof of Lemma 7 is completed.

Then, using the definition of an eigenvalue of problem (1), (2) and the Lagrange theorem, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_s \leq F(\tilde{u}_{s,k}) &= \int_{\Omega} f(x, \tilde{u}_k(x), \nabla \tilde{u}_k(x)) dx \\ &+ \int_{\Omega} [f(x, \tilde{u}_{s,k}(x), \nabla \tilde{u}_{s,k}(x)) - f(x, \tilde{u}_k(x), \nabla \tilde{u}_{s,k}(x))] dx \\ &+ \int_{\Omega} [f(x, \tilde{u}_k(x), \nabla \tilde{u}_{s,k}(x)) - f(x, \tilde{u}_k(x), \nabla \tilde{u}_k(x))] dx = \int_{\Omega} f(x, \tilde{u}_k(x), \nabla \tilde{u}_k(x)) dx \\ &+ \int_0^1 \int_{\Omega} f_0(x, \tilde{u}_k(x) + \theta(\tilde{u}_{s,k}(x) - \tilde{u}_k(x)), \nabla \tilde{u}_{s,k}(x)) [\tilde{u}_{s,k}(x) - \tilde{u}_k(x)] dx d\theta \\ &+ \int_0^1 \int_{\Omega} \sum_{j=1}^n f_j(x, \tilde{u}_k(x), \nabla \tilde{u}_k(x) + \mu(\nabla \tilde{u}_{s,k}(x) - \nabla \tilde{u}_k(x))) \frac{\partial [\tilde{u}_{s,k}(x) - \tilde{u}_k(x)]}{\partial x_j} dx d\mu. \end{aligned}$$

Using relations (12) and (13) and the strong convergence of $\tilde{u}_k(x)$ to $\tilde{u}(x)$, we obtain

$$\limsup_{s \rightarrow \infty} \lambda_s \leq \int_{\Omega} f(x, \tilde{u}(x), \nabla \tilde{u}(x)) dx + \int_{\Omega} c(x, -\tilde{u}(x)) dx = \lambda.$$

Thus, we get

$$\limsup_{s \rightarrow \infty} \lambda_s \leq \lambda. \tag{19}$$

Below, we prove the inequality

$$\liminf_{s \rightarrow \infty} \lambda_s \geq \lambda. \tag{20}$$

Relation (19) yields the estimate $F(u_s) = \lambda_s \leq C_{36}$ with some constant C_{36} . By using the conditions imposed on the function $f(x, u, p)$, we get

$$C_{37} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u_s|^m dx \leq \int_{\Omega} f(x, u_s(x), \nabla u_s(x)) dx \leq C_{36}.$$

This yields $\|u_s\|_{W_m^1(\Omega)}^0 \leq C_{38}$. Hence, there exists a subsequence of the sequence $u_s(x)$ that converges weakly in $W_m^1(\Omega)$ as $s \rightarrow \infty$. Denote its limit by $\bar{u}(x) \in W_m^1(\Omega)$.

Fixing a constant $\mu \in (0, 1)$, we represent the asymptotic expansion of the function $u_s(x)$ as follows:

$$u_s(x) = \bar{u}_k(x) + \frac{1}{\mu} \sum_{l=1}^3 \bar{q}_{s,k}^{(l)}(x) + \omega_{s,k}(x),$$

where $\bar{q}_{s,k}^{(l)}(x)$ have the same form as in (9) and are constructed by analogy using solutions of auxiliary model problems, cut-off functions, and the function $\bar{u}(x)$, and $\omega_{s,k}(x) \in W_m^1(\Omega_s)$ is the remainder of the expansion. By analogy with the proof of Theorem 3.1 in [4], we conclude that $\omega_{s,k}(x)$ converges to zero strongly in $W_m^1(\Omega)$ as $s \rightarrow \infty$, and $G_s(u_s) = 1$ by the definition of eigenfunction. Since G is a weakly continuous functional, we have $G(\bar{u}) = 1$. Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_s = F(u_s) &= \int_{\Omega} f(x, u_s(x), \nabla u_s(x)) dx = \int_{\Omega} f(x, \bar{u}_k(x), \nabla \bar{u}_k(x)) dx \\ &+ \int_0^1 \int_{\Omega} f_0(x, \bar{u}_k(x) + \theta(u_s(x) - \bar{u}_k(x)), \nabla u_s(x)) [u_s(x) - \bar{u}_k(x)] dx d\theta \\ &+ \int_0^1 \int_{\Omega} \sum_{j=1}^n f_j(x, \bar{u}_k(x), \nabla \bar{u}_k(x) + \mu(\nabla u_s(x) - \nabla \bar{u}_k(x))) \frac{\partial [u_s(x) - \bar{u}_k(x)]}{\partial x_j} dx d\mu. \end{aligned}$$

As in the proof of Lemma 7, we prove that

$$\liminf_{s \rightarrow \infty} \lambda_s = \liminf_{s \rightarrow \infty} F(u_s) = F_c(\bar{u}) \geq \inf_{u \in M(G)} F_c(u) = \lambda.$$

Thus, we have proved inequality (20). Relations (19) and (20) prove the statement of Theorem 1.

This work was partially supported by the State Foundation for Fundamental Research (project No. 01.07/00252).

REFERENCES

1. V. A. Marchenko and E. Ya. Khruslov, *Boundary-Value Problems in Domains with Fine-Grain Boundary* [in Russian], Naukova Dumka, Kiev (1974).
2. G. A. Iosif'yan, O. A. Oleinik, and A. S. Shamaev, "Averaging of eigenvalues and eigenfunctions of a boundary-value problem in elasticity theory for a perforated domain," *Vestn. Mosk. Univ., Ser. I. Mat. Mekh.*, No. 4, 53–63 (1983).
3. O. A. Oleinik and T. A. Shaposhnikova, "On averaging of solutions of Dirichlet problems for a partially perforated domain of the general form with aperiodic structure," *Vestn. Mosk. Univ., Ser. I. Mat. Mekh.*, No. 2, 49–55 (1995).
4. I. V. Skrypnik, *Methods for Investigation of Nonlinear Elliptic Boundary-Value Problems* [in Russian], Fizmatlit, Moscow (1990).
5. I. V. Skrypnik, "Asymptotics of solutions of nonlinear elliptic problems for perforated domains," *Mat. Sb.*, **184**, No. 10, 67–90 (1993).
6. I. V. Skrypnik, "New conditions for averaging of nonlinear Dirichlet problems in perforated domains," *Ukr. Mat. Zh.*, **48**, No. 5, 675–694 (1996).
7. F. Browder, "Variation methods for nonlinear elliptic eigenvalue problems," *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **71**, No. 1, 176–183 (1965).
8. F. Browder, "Infinite-dimensional manifolds and nonlinear elliptic eigenvalue problems," *Ann. Math.*, **82**, No. 3, 459–477 (1965).
9. F. Fucik, J. Necas, and V. Soucek, *Spectral Analysis of Nonlinear Operators*, Springer, Berlin (1973).
10. S. I. Pokhozhaev, "On eigenfunctions of quasilinear elliptic problems," *Mat. Sb.*, **82**, No. 2, 192–212 (1970).